
Subject: Some problems with/questions about the infrastructure schema...

Posted by [Wolfgang Keller](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 11:03:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

as a total XML illiterate I'm currently trying to build a database (ER) schema for timetable and other operation data based on the RailML XML schemas. During this work, I ran into some problems/unclear points/potential improvements:

(My work is based on the files

http://www.linder-rail-consult.de/railml/docs/infrastructure/v094/Infrastructure_V095_Concept_DE_Rel1_2004-03-28.pdf

http://www.linder-rail-consult.de/railml/docs/infrastructure/v094/Infrastructure_V094_Reference_EN_2004-03-28.pdf

and

http://www.linder-rail-consult.de/railml/docs/infrastructure/v094/infrastructure_V094_18.xsd)

1. Generally I propose that identifiers such as "type", "length", "value" etc. should not be used at all, as they risk to collide with typical reserved keywords. It would be best imho if all identifiers were unique within the entire schema in order to avoid confusion.
2. Why are the `<x>ChangeType` definitions not based on the corresponding `<x>Type` definitions (by reference, inclusion of a subelement or whatever method)? This would imho avoid redundancies as well as incoherencies. One of these incoherencies appears to be that the `electrificationChangeType` definition in the .xsd file contains `vMax` and `isolatedSection` attributes, while the `electrificationType` definition does not.
3. The part of the schema about connections appears to be especially "unstable" at the moment. When can a somehow "settled" version of this part be expected? It also appears to me as a not-database-developer that this part is not obvious to map to a relational schema due to the "kinks" in the relationships, which leads to the next point:
4. Wouldn't it be useful/would it be impossible to include such considerations as technology-independence in the design of the schema, so that the logical structuring can also be used for plain-ASCII data exchange (such as datagrams sent over narrow-bandwidth wireless connections etc.), for relational databases and maybe also other implementations...?

TIA,

Best regards,

Wolfgang Keller
