
Subject: Re: RFE for connection, DE:Anschluss
Posted by on Fri, 09 Nov 2012 15:11:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Susanne,

> It changes its running direction in Eschwege, that means "it reverses".

please do not mix the "trainReverse" attribute with the "orientationReversed" attribute.

- "trainReverse" is an attribute of <ocpTT>,
- "orientationReversed" is an attribute of <formationTT>.

If only the train reverses but not the formation, there is currently no need to separate two <trainParts>.

In the example of Eschwege with one MU, only the train reverses but not the formation. So until today: No need for two <trainParts>.

With your suggestion to `_enforce_` two train parts if a train reverses (which I would welcome as mentioned in the previous post), the situation changes:

We could now declare "trainReverse" being obsolete since we could always use "orientationReversed" (also for single MUs by definition) because we always will have to have a new <trainPart>.

So: If your suggestion will be accepted (by Joachim and others), I herewith plead for declaring "trainReverse" obsolete to reduce redundancy.

Best regards,
Dirk.
