
Subject: Re: 'trackInfo' deprecated?

Posted by [Andreas Tanner](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2012 08:20:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The ticket suggests a "remarks" attribute. I'm not so convinced by that since this is quite generic. The more specific an attribute is, the less explanation is needed in the documentation.

We (IVU) currently use the trackInfo attribute to store a formatted string that encodes platform and section. We use our private "decoding" to map to our infrastructure model. Now the proper references to infrastructure elements were added and of course, this is much better. Still, possibly a formatted version of the track info could be useful as a "commercial" attribute for printouts and displays in case that it cannot be deduced from the infrastructure references.

Best regards

--Andreas.

Am 28.11.2012 22:12, schrieb Susanne Wunsch:

> Dear all,

>

> Dirk Bräuer <dirk.braeuer@irfp.de> writes:

>

>>> Now we /could/ say that for the sake of publication, the trackInfo
>>> attribute is what you have to use. But this being purely textual, we
>>> would loose reference to the infrastructure model.

>>

>> I agree: A non-plaintext possibility would be much better. But that
>> would mean to further expand RailML in this direction in advance -
>> before we have practical need. Since we cannot do everything at the
>> same time, I think it's better to postpone this and wait.

>>

>> Let's remember that the current discussion was only about "trackInfo"
>> to declare obsolete or not.

>

> I filed a Trac ticket for this issue:

>

> <http://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/214>

>

> Any comments appreciated.

>

> Kind regards...

> Susanne

>
