
Subject: Re: circulations should be optional

Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2013 15:55:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Andreas,

there seem to be no objections against this issue. I therefore opened a ticket:

<https://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/221>

But for an implementation in version 2.2, I definitely prefer the solution with optional <circulations>. Your suggestion with additional <blocks> below <timetable> would result in two different possible locations which is not desirable

Kind regards,
Joachim

Joachim Rubröder
Schema Coordinator: railML.timetable

--

----- posted via PHP Headliner -----
