Subject: Re: circulations should be optional
Posted by Andreas Tanner on Wed, 13 Feb 2013 07:37:59 GMT

Thanks a lot. Optional circulations within a rostering would be helpful.
The rest should be discussed at the upcoming conference.
--Andreas.

Am 12.02.2013 16:55, schrieb Joachim Rubroeder:
> Dear Andreas,
>
> there seem to be no objections against this issue. I therefore opened a
> ticket:
> https://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/221
>
> But for an implementation in version 2.2, I definitely prefer the solution
> with optional <circulations>. Your suggestion with additional <blocks>
> below <timetable> would result in two different possible locations which
> is not desirable
>
> Kind regards,
> Joachim
>
> -----------------------------------
> Joachim Rubröder
> Schema Coordinator: railML.timetable
>
>