
Subject: Operator information

Posted by [jesper.hansen](#) on Thu, 21 Apr 2005 09:41:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We're foreseeing the need for specifying the operator in the timetable.

Has anyone else had this need? Is it correct that this is not part of the current version and if not could this be added?

Are there plans for developing a format for reading passenger data statistics?

Jesper

Subject: Re: Operator information

Posted by [tobias](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 09:36:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jesper Hansen wrote:

> We're foreseeing the need for specifying the operator in the timetable.

> Has anyone else had this need? Is it correct that this is not part of the
> current version and if not could this be added?

Does anyone have an answer to this? Since the operator could differ between different trains, it seems like this would be an attribute on the train element. I suppose that either depitcode, remarks or description could be used but that would be forcing data into the format and it seems like a bad idea.

Tobias Bende

Subject: Re: Operator information

Posted by [coord.timetable](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 06:49:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree that the easiest thing would be to add a new optional attribute "operator" to the trainType since none of the other attributes is meant for this information.

<xsd:attribute

name="operator"<font size=1

color=red face="Arial">
type="<font size=1
face="Arial">xsd:string"<font size=1
color=red face="Arial">
use="<font size=1
face="Arial">optional">

Is there any need to change the operator
during the course of the train? This would mean to place him on the same
level with the trainData like it was suggested for the "kind"
of train by Sergey Vkhel.

Are there other missing attributes like
that, we could integrate in a version 1.01?

Joachim Rubröder

Subject: Re: Operator information
Posted by [Joachim.Rubröder](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 06:58:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sorry, I had some technical problems posting my first response.

I agree that the easiest way is to add an optional attribute "operator" to the trainType for the Version 1.01.

Is anyone in the need to change the operator during the course of the train, like it was suggested for the attribute "kind" by Sergey Vakthel? This would mean to place the operator on a deeper level like the train data.

And are there more attributes like that to be thought of?

Joachim Rubröder

Subject: Re: Operator information
Posted by [tobias](#) on Sat, 07 May 2005 22:21:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j.rubroeder@sma-partner.ch wrote:

- > I agree that the easiest way is to add an optional attribute "operator" to
- > the trainType for the Version 1.01.
- >
- > Is anyone in the need to change the operator during the course of the
- > train, like it was suggested for the attribute "kind" by Sergey Vakthel?

- > This would mean to place the operator on a deeper level like the train
- > data.

I think it makes sense to have the operator on the trainType level.
