
Subject: tElementWithIDAndName

Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Wed, 12 Mar 2008 15:13:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

we diskussed in Bern to use "tElementWithIDAndName" in all sub schemas for elements to be referenced (elementRefId --> element.id).

I startet to do so in the timetable, here my experiences:

- tElementWithIDAndName should be part of GenericRailML.xsd
- the "name" attribute should be optional because not every element needs to have a name.
- a "description" attribute is often used in the timetable schema instead of the "name" and should be added (optional) as well, because it is something slightly different from a "name" (e.g. an operating period with id="15", name="Mo-Fr" and description="driving Monday to Friday on working days")

Best regards,

Joachim Rubröder

Subject: Re: tElementWithIDAndName

Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Wed, 12 Mar 2008 16:05:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

coord@timetable.railml.org writes:

- > we diskussed in Bern to use "tElementWithIDAndName" in all sub
- > schemas for elements to be referenced (elementRefId --> element.id).
- > I startet to do so in the timetable, here my experiences: -
- > tElementWithIDAndName should be part of GenericRailML.xsd

I agree. I would move the complexType definition to file "genericRailML.xsd", if Volker agrees.

- > - the "name" attribute should be optional because not every element
- > needs to have a name. - a "description" attribute is often used in
- > the timetable schema instead of the "name" and should be added
- > (optional) as well, because it is something slightly different from
- > a "name" (e.g. an operating period with id="15", name="Mo-Fr" and
- > description="driving Monday to Friday on working days")

I applied the ideas, mentioned by Joachim:

```
<xsd:complexType name="tElementWithIDAndName">  
<xsd:attribute name="id" type="tGenericID" use="required" />
```

```
<xsd:attribute name="name" type="tGenericName"
  use="optional" />
<xsd:attribute name="description" type="tElementDescription"
  use="optional" />
<!-- Provide an extension point for other attributes-->
<xsd:anyAttribute />
</xsd:complexType>
```

If needed, I can upload a new version of file "genericRailML.xsd" on developer page.

Other schema coordinators should apply this type in order to get a step forward to harmonized railML-schemas.

On the other hand, all references should be renamed e.g. following way:

```
<xsd:attribute name="trainRefId" type="tGenericID" use="optional">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation>
      Reference to "id" attribute in "train"
      element, "timetable" subschema
    </xsd:documentation>
    <xsd:documenation>
      Other remarks, e.g. for semantical usage
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
</xsd:attribute>
```

I would prefer to define a new simpleType "tGenericRef" in "genericRailML.xsd", in order to distinct between "id" and "*refId".

Optional or required use has to be decided in special context of element usage.

Best regards,
Susanne

--
Susanne Wunsch
Schema Coordinator: railML.common

Subject: Re: tElementWithIDAndName
Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Wed, 12 Mar 2008 16:46:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

thanks for the quick reply!

A "tGenericRef" would be fine as type for all xyRefId - Elements!

I'm planing to upload a new timetable version 1.11 with some of the new features discussed in Berne. If you will upload a new GenericRailml.xsd, I could already use the new "id" and "RefId" attributes.

Best regards,
Joachim Rubröder

Subject: Re: tElementWithIDAndName
Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Fri, 14 Mar 2008 08:53:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I will check, where the changes of tElementWithIDAndName and elementRefId can be used in Rollingstock scheme.

--

Mit freundlichen Grüßen/Best regards,

Jörg von Lingen
