
Subject: formationType vs. compositionType

Posted by [Joachim.Rubröder](#) on Fri, 26 Aug 2005 12:21:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The formation branch in the rollingstock schema is meant to describe the different engines and wagons forming a train.

In rollingstock 1.0 a formation has the type "compositionType", this should be renamed to "formationType".

In the timetable schema exists a composition element with the right "compositionType",. This element describes more than a formation and has additional sub elements like service and dynamic.

Best Regards,

Joachim
