

---

Subject: Clarification on Itineraries

Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Thu, 12 Apr 2018 03:25:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

the use case "Routes for timetable simulation" lists as needed information "Itineraries, i.e. sequence of block sections". However, it shall be clarified, what the term "itineraries" exactly defines.

1) The term "itineraries" stands for a list of single routes representing the running path of a train from OCP1 to OCPx through the railway network.

2) The term "itineraries" stands for a list of single routes (within one OCP or on open line) as concatenated ones which might be activated by the controller/interlocking with one single command.

Please give me your understanding on the term.

Best Jörg.

Interlocking coordinator

---

---

Subject: Re: Clarification on Itineraries

Posted by [Paolo Girolami](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2018 10:44:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

See attached pdf, including a list of definitions and the one we prefer.

Thanks

Regards

Paolo Girolami

---

### File Attachments

1) [RAILMLForum-P1W16-2018.pdf](#), downloaded 484 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Clarification on Itineraries

Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Thu, 10 May 2018 06:55:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Please do not confuse the English term "itineraries" with the French term "itinéraire".

Here we are speaking about "itineraries" as a list of single routes. However, the question is what are the characteristics of the single route to come in such a list. See my first post on the issue.

In the end a decision is necessary whether "itineraries" are to be incorporated into interlocking or better timetable.

Paolo Girolami wrote on 19.04.2018 12:44:

- > See attached pdf, including a list of definitions and the
  - > one we prefer.
  - > Thanks
  - > Regards
  - > Paolo Girolami
-