
Subject: Define requirements for processContent strictly than lax

Posted by [Heidrun Jost](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2018 07:19:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

Thales Germany use in railML's infrastructure schema and other schemes the <any> element to extend the XML document with elements and attributes.

railML currently has defined: processContents="lax".

The meaning of strict and lax is the following:

- strict: the XML processor must obtain the schema for the required namespaces and validate the elements (this is default)

- lax: same as strict but; if the schema cannot be obtained, no errors will occur

To increase the usability, reliability and availability of railML data I suggest to change the processContent from lax to strict.

Best regards,

--

Heidrun Jost
Software Engineer
Transportation Systems
Thales Deutschland

Phone: +49 (0) 30 688306 423

Email: heidrun.jost@thalgroup.com

Thales Deutschland GmbH
Schützenstr. 25 – 10117 Berlin – Germany

Subject: Re: Define requirements for processContent strictly than lax

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2018 21:04:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Heidrun,

Am 07.12.2018 um 08:19 schrieb Heidrun Jost:

> Dear all,

>

> Thales Germany use in railML's infrastructure schema and other schemes
> the <any> element to extend the XML document with elements and attributes.

>

> railML currently has defined: processContents="lax".

- >
- > The meaning of strict and lax is the following:
- > - strict: the XML processor must obtain the schema for the required namespaces and validate the elements (this is default)
- >
- > - lax: same as strict but; if the schema cannot be obtained, no errors will occur
- >
- > To increase the usability, reliability and availability of railML data I suggest to change the processContent from lax to strict.

following discussions at the last Conference in Prague and in several working group meetings, railML.org decided to follow the suggested approach of "strict" instead of "lax" extensions for upcoming versions railML 3.x. This means, that all own extensions made to the railML schema have to be specified by an appropriate extension schema file. It shall not be possible to make use of (any) extensions to the railML model without providing the schema of the extension.

Best regards
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
