
Subject: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits

Posted by [Torben Brand](#) on Mon, 10 Feb 2020 14:25:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For a clearer use of organisational units the Norwegian railway sector recommends to further refine the existing definitions to make them more clear and to introduce an extension.

There are 8 fixed types of organisations in railML2:

- infrastructureManager
- vehicleManufacturer
- vehicleOperator
- customer
- railwayUndertaking
- operationalUndertaking
- concessionaire
- contractor

We suggest extending railML2.5 with a new sub element/type "vehicleOwner" and to allow extensions with <any> element.

I tried to find some background information in the forum, but only found this string without any clear definitions: https://www.railml.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&th=348&goto=1102&#msg_1102

We would like to ask the community how they define the 8 types of organisations. To start the discussion, we suggest the following definition improvements of the terms:

organisationalUnits

Semantics:

Container element for pre-defining organizational units, that will be referred from within the railML file.

Organisational units are railway related organisations that can be a government authority, local authority, corporation, enterprise, public company, private company, undertaking/body or other legal entity.

Each element may be used several times for several entries, as e.g. a network may be divided into areas with different infrastructure managers, and as within the network there will usually move vehicles from different producers. Every element entry within this container has, at least, an attribute name plus an attribute id. It can be addressed via this id from certain other places within the railML®-file

Notes:

Note that as in railML2 the type of organisation is declared by the element. So, you need to register the same organisation in each relevant element if it has more than one role.

infrastructureManager

Semantics:

An organisation that is responsible for establishing and maintaining railway infrastructure, which may also include the management of infrastructure control and safety systems. The functions of the infrastructure manager on a network or part of a network may be allocated to different bodies or undertakings.

Via code it can be linked to the codelist infrastructureManagers.xml, where numerous

infrastructure managers are listed.

vehicleManufacturer

Semantics: An organisation that produces railway vehicles.

vehicleOperator

Semantics: An organisation responsible for operating the railway vehicle on behalf of a railway undertaking (usually as a sub-contractor).

Customer

Semantics: An organisation that orders transportation service from a railway undertaking. The customer can have exclusive transportation ownership rights (concessions) or operate on open access.

railwayUndertaking

Semantics: An organisation, licensed according to applicable legislation, which principal business is to provide services for the transport of goods and/or passengers by rail with a requirement that the undertaking must ensure traction and is commercially responsible for the service.

operationalUndertaking

Semantics: An organisation responsible for the operational performance of a railway undertakings service (usually as a sub-contractor). Examples are organisations responsible for catering, cleaning or vehicle maintenance.

Concessionaire

Semantics: A <railwayUndertaking> that has received and operates under a concession from a <customer>.

Forum note: As this is over specific and the UC is not clear, we suggest deprecating this element. If there is a need for the contract status between customer or railway undertaking, that should be mapped in a separate construct.

Contractor

Semantics: Any relevant organisation not fitting to the other sub elements of <organisationalUnits>

vehicleOwner [new]

Semantics: An organisation which purpose is to make railway vehicles available for railway undertakings.

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Thomas Nygreen](#) on Thu, 13 Feb 2020 12:49:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Torben,
Dear all,

I don't know why Torben's link did not become clickable, so I'll try to post it again, as well as the related trac issue:

Forum: <https://www.railml.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&goto=1102>

Trac: <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/178>

I have not found any further context, and in the old forum thread a "vehicleOwner" value was also suggested, but it was apparently not included at the end. Are there anyone in the community who can provide (best practice) examples of current usage? Would Torben's suggestions break any current functionality?

In addition to Torben's suggested changes, I would as if we really need both <railwayUndertaking>, <vehicleOperator> and <operationalUndertaking>. I propose to also deprecate at least one of the last two. Again, please comment!

Best,
Thomas

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by _____ on Thu, 26 Mar 2020 12:33:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Thomas, Torben and all others,

some time ago I agreed to read the documentation [1] of DB Netz AG (German Infrastructure Manager) on their planned Slot ordering data format concerning how they use what in railML would be "organisationalUnits". Here a short summary (with my own additions and translation):

LeadRU

de: Führendes/Koordinierendes EVU

en: leading or coordinating RU

Responsible Applicant

de: Besteller, Vertragspartner

en: ordering contractor (not necessarily a RU)

ResponsibleRU

de: die Zugfahrt durchführendes EVU

en: RU operating the train

PlanningIM

de: fahrplanerstellendes EIU

en: IM creating (responsible for) the timetable

ResponsibleIM

de: für die betriebliche Durchführung der Zugfahrt verantwortliches EIU; Eigentümer der Infrastruktur

en: IM responsible for operating the train = owner of infrastructure

DB Netze says this is harmonised with ERA concerning TAF/TAP. So this may be general. But I think it still could change
only time can show how other IM use this. So far, I would regard it as a German view.

What I miss is:

- No distinguishing between "ResponsibleRU" (as above), RU which owns the vehicle(s) and RU which contributes the driver.

I don't know whether this helps us, but we should keep it in mind because there is a chance that this will become "best practice" in the catchment area of ERA. May be it's ok if we produce an "assign-list" of railML values to these German/ERA values? May be a list which can be extended later with values or best practice of other countries?

Can anybody prepare this?

Best regards,
Dirk.

[1] DB Netze: Dokumentation der Schnittstelle des Bestellsystems der DB Netz für EVU-Systeme. Gültig ab Netzfahrplan 2024. Version 4.1.1, last update 25.02.2020.

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Thomas Nygreen](#) on Thu, 26 Nov 2020 05:46:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

We have a new trac ticket for this request: <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/435>

In addition to adding the <vehicleOwner> elements requested by Norway, it would be natural to add an <owner> child of the rolling stock vehicle <classification> element.

One thing I noted when looking at the current implementation is that the different types of organisational units are very restricted with key/keyref constraints. Specifically, the vehicle operator classification in RS can only reference a vehicleOperator, not a railwayUndertaking. The consequence is that some organisations have to be specified multiple times, one time for each role. This is hard to change without breaking minor version compatibility, so we will have to live with it in railML 2.

Best regards,
Thomas

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits

Posted by

on Thu, 26 Nov 2020 15:29:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello everyone,

in general, I agree that there is currently no possibility in railML to indicate an owner of the vehicles of a <trainPart> and would therefore support an extension.

Am 26.11.2020 um 06:46 schrieb Thomas Nygreen:

> Dear all,

>

> We have a new trac ticket for this request:

> <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/435>

>

> In addition to adding the <vehicleOwner> elements requested

> by Norway, it would be natural to add an <owner> child of

> the rolling stock vehicle <classification> element.

I would rather implement only one of the <vehicleOwner> references below <trainPart> or <vehicle> and instead of both.

The reference to a <vehicleOwner> from a <trainPart> has the problem that a <trainPart> may consist of vehicles with different owners, but only one <vehicleOwner> can be specified per <trainPart>. In this case separate <trainPart>s would have to be created for each owner. This is not a new problem, however, but applies analogously to the existing <vehicleOperator> reference of the <trainPart>.

Defining the <owner> directly at the <vehicle> avoids this problem, but separate <vehicle>s would have to be defined for all owners of a vehicle class. In order not to specify too much redundant data, the physical data of the vehicle and the <owner> or <operator> assignments could be defined as separate <vehicle>s and mutually referenced with the attribute 'vehicleFamilyRef' (see example below).

I would prefer the second variant because it seems to be more flexible. In this case one could consider to declare the <vehicleOperator> element of the <trainPart>s as deprecated.

Best Regards
Christian Rößiger

--- Example ---

```
<vehicle id='veh_1' description='This is the physical vehicle class'  
speed='160', length='22.50'>  
  <classification>  
    <manufacturer vehicleManufacturerRef='vm_1' manufacturerType='XX.XX' />
```

```
</classification>
</vehicle>
```

```
<vehicle id='veh_2' description='first owner, first operator'
vehicleFamilyRef='veh_1'>
  <classification>
    <operator vehicleOperatorRef='vop_1' operatorClass='YY.YY' />
    <owner ownerRef='vow_1' />
  </classification>
</vehicle>
```

```
<vehicle id='veh_3' description='second owner, second operator'
vehicleFamilyRef='veh_1'>
  <classification>
    <operator vehicleOperatorRef='vop_2' operatorClass='ZZ.ZZ' />
    <owner ownerRef='vow_2' />
  </classification>
</vehicle>
```

Comment: 2nd and 3rd <vehicle> do reference the 1st one which contains the physical data. 2nd and 3rd <vehicle> serve only as assignment of a certain <operator> and <owner> to a physical vehicle class.

--

iRFP e. K. · Institut für Regional- und Fernverkehrsplanung
Hochschulstr. 45, 01069 Dresden
Tel. +49 351 4706819 · Fax. +49 351 4768190 · www.irfp.de
Registergericht: Amtsgericht Dresden, HRA 9347

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Fri, 27 Nov 2020 05:02:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

as I am not timetable specialist I don't see the need of having the vehicle owner in TT.

In my opinion the vehicle owner is something rather static which is related to the vehicle (the property). Of course, a formation of vehicles might consist of vehicles of different owners. In TT I would see only the need to know the trainPart operator, which might be different from the owner and which might be more dynamic than the ownership.

This reflects the typical market situation of
a) Rolling stock leasing companies (ROSCOs) giving lease to operators
b) Train operating companies (TOCs) operating the train service

--

Regards,
Jörg von Lingen - Rollingstock Coordinator

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Milan Wölke](#) on Wed, 09 Dec 2020 11:39:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree with Jörg and Christian. Seems to me that vehicleOwner is better placed on the vehicle level than on the timetable. Would that work for you @Torben Brand?

Best regards, Milan

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Torben Brand](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2021 14:18:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, I agree with Thomas, Christian, Jörg and Milans comments in this post, placing the reference to the <vehicleOwner> on the vehicle level as a new <owner> sub element under <classification> as described very well in Christians example above.

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Torben Brand](#) on Thu, 14 Jan 2021 14:30:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you Dirk for providing the DB Netz list of types of "organisational units" for slot ordering.

I have also received documentation from ERA for the definition of organisational units for TAF/TAP. Thank you Stefan Jugelt for providing the information!

NB! This forum post was initially meant to only define the existing organisational Units terms in railML2 in a more precise manner. This new information bellow can lead to a complete redesign of the organisational units. This is not a bad thing. But I reccomend doing this in railML3.

The actors of the TAF TSI are defined in the chapter 1.6 of the TAF TSI Technical document TAF TSI - ANNEX D.2 : APPENDIX C - REFERENCE FILES (
https://www.era.europa.eu/sites/default/files/filesystem/taf/technical_documents/baseline_2.5.0/era_technical_document_taf-td-103_d_2_appendix_c.pdf)

Here is the definition for the different types of actors addressed in the TAF TSI:

"COMPANY" ACTOR

Company identifies any actor in the transport chain, notably any Company, directly or indirectly involved in rail traffic or having a business relationship with one or more of such companies not being a customer. The definition of Company comprehends the following as defined in the TAF-TSI.

- Customer;
- IMPartner;
- NextResponsibleIM;
- NextResponsibleRU;
- Recipient;
- ResponsibleIM;
- ResponsibleRU,
- PreviousResponsibleRU;
- RUPartner;
- Sender.

It specialises the actor Partner.

1.6.3 "CUSTOMER" ACTOR

Customer is the entity which has issued the consignment note to the Lead RU.

1.6.4 "DELIVERY RU" ACTOR

The RU responsible for delivery to the customer It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.5 "FLEETMANAGER" ACTOR

The fleet manager is the overall controller of a wagon fleet. Primarily a fleet manager controls the logistics of wagons (dispatching / disposition) from an operational and asset management point of view. It specialises the actor RollingStockActor.

1.6.6 "COMBINED TRANSPORT OPERATOR" ACTOR

Party which organises Intermodal transports. Intermodal transport is where the major part of the European journey is by rail and any initial and/or final leg is carried out by another transport mode. It specialises the actor Company.

1.6.7 "INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGER" ACTOR

Infrastructure Manager means anybody or undertaking that is responsible, in particular, for establishing and maintaining railway infrastructure. This may also include the management of infrastructure control and safety systems. The functions of the infrastructure manager on a network or part of a network may be allocated to different bodies or undertakings

1.6.8 "KEEPER" ACTOR

The entity, who being the owner or having the right to dispose of it, exploits a vehicle economically in a permanent manner as a means of transport and is registered as such in the Rolling Stock Register. A railway undertaking owning wagons equally has the role of keeper. It specialises the actor RollingStockActor.

1.6.9 "LEAD RU" ACTOR

Responsible RU, which organises and manages the transport line according to the customer's

commitment. It is the single point of contact for the customer. If more than one Railway Undertaking is involved in the transport chain, the LRU is responsible for the co-ordination of the various Railway Undertakings. A customer may be especially for Intermodal transport an Intermodal service integrator. The LeadRU is a service integrator. It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.10 "RESPONSIBLE RU" ACTOR

RU responsible for the current operation of the train It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.11 "ORIGIN RU" ACTOR

The first RU in the rail transportation chain It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.12 "RAILWAY UNDERTAKING" ACTOR

RailwayUndertaking is a company defined as any public or private undertaking, licensed according to applicable Community legislation, the principal business of which is to provide services for the transport of goods and/or passengers by rail (including shipping companies, covered by international railway tariffs). A requirement is that the undertaking shall ensure traction; this also includes undertakings which provide traction only.

1.6.13 "RESPONSIBLE IM" ACTOR

IM responsible for the train currently operating on its infrastructure It specialises the actor InfrastructureManager.

1.6.14 "NEXT RESPONSIBLE IM" ACTOR

Handover IM - It specialises the actor InfrastructureManager.

1.6.15 "NEXT RESPONSIBLE RU" ACTOR

RU responsible for the physical movement of the train after interchange It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.16 "PUBLIC AUTHORITY" ACTOR

Authority as an applicant is a legalised authority having an interest in public transport services. Authority is a legalised institution having an interest in the transport. It specialises the actor Applicant, OtherActor.

1.6.17 "PREVIOUS RESPONSIBLE RU" ACTOR

RU responsible for the physical movement of the train before the previous interchange. It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.18 "TRANSIT RU" ACTOR

The RU who involved in the interchange
It specialises the actor RailwayUndertaking.

1.6.19 "TRANSPORT CUSTOMER" ACTOR

Defines the railway customer - the Consignor or Consignee in the case of the TSI-TAF.

1.6.20 "ROLLINGSTOCK ACTOR" ACTOR

The Keeper, Wagon Owner or Fleet Manager It specialises the actor Company.

1.6.21 "SERVICE INTEGRATOR" ACTOR

Service Integrator organises the transport chain between Consignor and Consignee. The LeadRU is a ServiceIntegrator.

It specialises the actor Applicant, OtherActor.

1.6.22 "OTHER ACTOR" ACTOR

OtherActor involved in the Rail-Transport-Chain is any company or authority, directly or indirectly involved in rail traffic or having a business relationship with one or more of such companies.

It specialises the actor Partner.

1.6.23 "WORKSHOP" ACTOR

An approved organisation accredited to build, repair and/or maintain vehicles. It specialises the actor OtherActor.

Subject: Re: Suggested refined definitions and extension to organizationalUnits
Posted by [Thomas Nygreen](#) on Mon, 01 Feb 2021 14:56:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you, Torben, for providing this background. I see a potential and a need for a restructuring beyond just adding a vehicle owner, but that will be a railML 3 enhancement, as it would change the implementation too much for railML 2.

In line with the apparent consensus here, I have removed the new organizationalUnitBinding in TT from the proposed solution in the trac ticket and committed an update for the XSDs as a branch in SVN (diff available in trac when logged in).

Best regards,
Thomas
