
Subject: [railML3] Improvement for railML element „etcsLevelTransition“
Posted by [Karl-Friedemann Jerosch](#) on Mon, 31 May 2021 15:52:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

With railML 3.2 beta1 (=alpha2 of March 2021) the new element "etcsLevelTransition" required for use case "ETCS Track Net" has been added, modelled as following:

```
<railML>
  <infrastructure>
    <functionalInfrastructure>
      <etcsLevelTransitions>
        <etcsLevelTransition>
          <switchToLevel value="Level 2" lengthOfAcknowledgement="50"/>
          <switchToLevel value="Level NTC 6" lengthOfAcknowledgement="50"/>
          <switchToLevel value="Level 0" lengthOfAcknowledgement="50"/>
        </etcsLevelTransition>
      </etcsLevelTransitions>
    </functionalInfrastructure>
  </infrastructure>
</railML>
```

Improvement 1:

In the current modelling, the sequence of the listed levels in railML provides implicitly the "Table of Priority" according to UNISIG SUBSET-026 (versions 2.3.0/3.4.0/3.6.0) section 5.10.2.3 .

Not strictly considering of the sequence during the export of a railML-file results in an incorrect data file.

To avoid this problem, the suggestion is to add a new attribute "priority" providing explicitly the priority of each level for to the "Table of Priority".

Improvement 2:

The possible entries for attribute "value" consist always of a text part and a non-negative-integer part. To avoid problems during data exchange due to missing syntax requirements for attribute "value" (for example: "ETCS Level NTC 6" or "NTC_6" or "NTC 06" and so on), the suggestion is to divide the information into two attributes:

- attribute "level_kind" to provide the text string with values "level", "level_ntc" and "unknown"
- attribute "value" to provide a non-negative-integer value.

Conclusion:

With improvements 1 and 2 the example will now look like:

```
<railML>
  <infrastructure>
    <functionalInfrastructure>
      <etcsLevelTransitions>
        <switchToLevel level_kind="level" value="2" priority="1" lengthOfAcknowledgement="50"/>
      </etcsLevelTransitions>
    </functionalInfrastructure>
  </infrastructure>
</railML>
```

```
<switchToLevel level_kind="level_ntc" value="6" priority="2"
lengthOfAcknowledgement="50"/>
  <switchToLevel level_kind="level" value="0" priority="3" lengthOfAcknowledgement="50"/>
</etcsLevelTransitions>
</functionalInfrastructure>
</infrastructure>
</railML>
```

Note: level_kind="unknown" can be used if the information about the ATP equipment of the neighboring track section is currently missing and will be determined later.

Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Thu, 03 Jun 2021 03:47:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the input.

Just one remark: "level_kind" would be better "levelKind" as other attribute names in railML.

--
Regards,
Jörg von Lingen - Rollingstock Coordinator

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Thu, 08 Aug 2024 12:17:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

within the ETCS use case working group we discussed an extension of the etcsLevelTransition element. In particular, the following changes have been agreed on:

- * @levelValue should be of type non-negative integer in order to allow for value "0"
- * improve documentation of @priority values: "0" corresponds to the highest priority
- * add new enumeration attribute @transmittedBy with values "RBC", "Balisegroup", "Euroloop", "RIU" to provide information about the transmission medium
- * add new enumeration attribute @levelTransitionType with values "conditionalOrder" and "unconditionalOrder"

Are there any comments from the community?

Thank you very much and best regards
Christian
