

---

Subject: Derailer

Posted by [Ren Schnemann](#) on Mon, 20 Oct 2008 12:03:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello everybody,

today we discussed about derailers and their handling in RailML. Version 1.1 does not contain anything about derailers but Version 0.9 did. So, does anybody know where they went to?

Thanks and best regards,

---

---

Subject: Re: Derailer

Posted by [Dr. Volker Knollmann](#) on Mon, 20 Oct 2008 17:03:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 20/10/08 14:03, René Schönemann wrote:

> today we disussed about derailers and their handling in RailML. Version 1.1  
> does not contain anything about derailers but Version 0.9 did. So, does  
> anybody know where they went to?

Uh-oh.... I can't remember any reason why we could have intentionally deleted them. If the break occurs between V0.9 and V1.0 it is likely that we made a mistake when we prepared the release 1.0.

Since I'm just preparing a new "mini-release" of the infrastructure schema for the next days, I can use that chance to re-include them.

Any objections?

Best regards,  
Volker Knollmann

--

Dr. Volker Knollmann  
RailML Infrastructure Coordinator  
EMail: [coord@infrastructure.railml.org](mailto:coord@infrastructure.railml.org)

Business contact:

Siemens AG  
Industry Sector  
I MO RA SPP SM21  
Ackerstr. 22  
38126 Braunschweig

Tel.: +49 (531) 226-2592  
mailto:volker.knollmann@siemens.com

---

---

Subject: Re: Derailer  
Posted by [Ren Schnemann](#) on Tue, 21 Oct 2008 08:26:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Definitely no objections. It may still useful to have them.  
Thanks for the help.

Best regards,

"Dr. Volker Knollmann" <coord@infrastructure.railml.org> schrieb im  
Newsbeitrag news:gdi2g\$jb0\$1@sifa.ivi.fhg.de...

>> today we disussed about derailers and their handling in RailML. Version  
>> 1.1  
>> does not contain anything about derailers but Version 0.9 did. So, does  
>> anybody know where they went to?

>  
> Uh-oh.... I can't remember any reason why we could have intentionally  
> deleted them. If the break occurs between V0.9 and V1.0 it is likely  
> that we made a mistake when we prepared the release 1.0.

>  
> Since I'm just preparing a new "mini-release" of the infrastructure  
> schema for the next days, I can use that chance to re-include them.

>  
> Any objections?

>  
> Best regards,  
> Volker Knollmann

>  
> --  
> Dr. Volker Knollmann  
> RailML Infrastructure Coordinator  
> EMail: coord@infrastructure.railml.org

>  
>  
> Business contact:

>  
> Siemens AG  
> Industry Sector  
> I MO RA SPP SM21  
> Ackerstr. 22  
> 38126 Braunschweig  
> Tel.: +49 (531) 226-2592

> <mailto:volker.knollmann@siemens.com>

---

---

Subject: Re: Derailer

Posted by [Dr. Volker Knollmann](#) on Sat, 01 Nov 2008 20:14:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 20/10/08 19:03, Dr. Volker Knollmann wrote:

> Since I'm just preparing a new "mini-release" of the infrastructure  
> schema for the next days, I can use that chance to re-include them.

Unfortunately I found no trace of derailers in the prior versions of the infrastructure schema. I checked V0.95 and some V1.0-pre-releases. And since could not determine the original implementation, I did not include them in the developer released on 2008-10-29.

Can you please tell we where to find the original implementation?

TIA and best regards,  
Volker Knollmann

--

Dr. Volker Knollmann  
RailML Infrastructure Coordinator  
EMail: [coord@infrastructure.railml.org](mailto:coord@infrastructure.railml.org)

Business contact:

Siemens AG  
Industry Sector  
I MO RA SPP SM21  
Ackerstr. 22  
38126 Braunschweig  
Tel.: +49 (531) 226-2592  
<mailto:volker.knollmann@siemens.com>

---

---

Subject: Re: Derailer

Posted by [Ren Schnemann](#) on Tue, 04 Nov 2008 10:04:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

A description of the derailer can be found in "Modellierung einer Eisenbahninfrastruktur in RailML" by Nikolaus Fries ([http://www.railml.org/documents/studienarbeit\\_fries.pdf](http://www.railml.org/documents/studienarbeit_fries.pdf)) on page 41. As far as I know in this document the versions 0.94 and 0.95 have been used.

Best regards

-----

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Lilienthalplatz 7

D-38108 Braunschweig

Telefon: +49(0)531/295-3457

Telefax: +49(0)531/295-3402

E-Mail: [rene.schoenemann@dlr.de](mailto:rene.schoenemann@dlr.de)

Internet: <http://www.dlr.de/ts>

Informationen. Wenn Sie nicht der richtige Adressat sind oder diese E-Mail

vernichten Sie diese Mail. Das unerlaubte Kopieren sowie die unbefugte Weitergabe dieser Mail ist nicht gestattet.

This e-mail may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Any

unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden.

"Dr. Volker Knollmann" <coord@infrastructure.railml.org> schrieb im Newsbeitrag news:geih18\$png\$1@sifa.ivi.fhg.de...

> On 20/10/08 19:03, Dr. Volker Knollmann wrote:

>> Since I'm just preparing a new "mini-release" of the infrastructure  
>> schema for the next days, I can use that chance to re-include them.

>

> Unfortunately I found no trace of derailers in the prior versions of the  
> infrastructure schema. I checked V0.95 and some V1.0-pre-releases. And  
> since could not determine the original implementation, I did not include  
> them in the developer released on 2008-10-29.

>

> Can you please tell we where to find the original implementation?

>

> TIA and best regards,  
> Volker Knollmann

>

> --

> Dr. Volker Knollmann  
> RailML Infrastructure Coordinator  
> EMail: coord@infrastructure.railml.org

>

>

> Business contact:

>

> Siemens AG  
> Industry Sector  
> I MO RA SPP SM21  
> Ackerstr. 22  
> 38126 Braunschweig  
> Tel.: +49 (531) 226-2592  
> mailto:volker.knollmann@siemens.com