Subject: [railML3] Request for feedback on changes of our deprecation policy Posted by Vasco Paul Kolmorgen on Wed, 04 Sep 2024 07:36:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

Following an issue [1] and presentation at the conference [2] railML.org is to change a deprecation policy for railML3. Please answer if you have anything against or anything is missing.

Current state: In order to remove an element or attribute we currently need an intermediate minor version of railML where we deprecate this attribute or element.

railML's suggestion:

- Changes from one minor version to the next will be allowed without a deprecation phase. This allows changes that cannot have a deprecation phase, such as:
 - o Renaming elements, attributes or enumeration values
 - o Changing the minOccurs or maxOccurs of an element
 - o Changing the use of an attribute (optional or mandatory)
 - o Changing between xs:sequence, xs:choice and xs:all
- Remodelling may also be done without including both the old and new implementation in the new version, reducing the complexity.
- Removals that are not replaced by something new may still have a deprecation phase.
- [1] https://development.railml.org/railml/version3/-/issues/535 [2]

https://download.railml.org/events/conferences/railml_45th_virtual/2024-06-06_railml-nygreen_common.pdf

Sincerely,

--

Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911 Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany

Subject: Re: [railML3] Request for feedback on changes of our deprecation policy Posted by Michael Gruschwitz on Wed, 04 Sep 2024 10:17:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear railML team,

If it is well documented, there is nothing to be said against it in our opinion.

Thank you an best regards.

Michael Gruschwitz

```
Am 04.09.2024 um 09:36 schrieb Vasco Paul Kolmorgen:
> Dear all.
>
> Following an issue [1] and presentation at the conference [2] railML.org
> is to change a deprecation policy for railML3.
>
> Current state: In order to remove an element or attribute we currently
> need an intermediate minor version of railML where we deprecate this
> atttribute or element.
>
> railML's suggestion:
> • Changes from one minor version to the next will be allowed without a
> deprecation phase. This allows changes that cannot have a deprecation
> phase, such as:
    o Renaming elements, attributes or enumeration values
    o Changing the minOccurs or maxOccurs of an element
>
    o Changing the use of an attribute (optional or mandatory)
    o Changing between xs:sequence, xs:choice and xs:all
> • Remodelling may also be done without including both the old and new
> implementation in the new version, reducing the complexity.
> • Removals that are not replaced by something new may still have a
> deprecation phase.
>
> Please answer if you have anything against or anything is missing.
> Crosspost to all boards in railML forum, please follow-up and answer
> in railML.common only.
>
> [1] https://development.railml.org/railml/version3/-/issues/535
> [2]
https://download.railml.org/events/conferences/railml_45th_v
irtual/2024-06-06 railml-nygreen common.pdf
> Sincerely,
> --
> Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator
> railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
> Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911
> Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany
```